

On the convergence of implicit iteration process with error for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings

S.S. Chang^{a,b,*}, K.K. Tan^c, H.W.J. Lee^d, Chi Kin Chan^d

^a Department of Mathematics, Yibin University, Yibin, Sichuan 644007, China

^b Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, China

^c Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3J5

^d Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Received 21 November 2003

Available online 12 July 2005

Submitted by M.D. Gunzburger

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study the weak and strong convergence of implicit iteration process with errors to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper extend and improve the corresponding results of [H. Bauschke, The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 202 (1996) 150–159; B. Halpern, Fixed points of nonexpansive maps, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 957–961; P.L. Lions, Approximation de points fixes de contractions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 284 (1977), 1357–1359; S. Reich, Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 75 (1980) 287–292; Z.H. Sun, Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 286 (2003) 351–358; R. Wittmann, Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Arch. Math. 58 (1992) 486–491; H.K. Xu, M.G. Ori, An implicit iterative process for nonexpansive mappings, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optimiz. 22 (2001) 767–773; Y.Y. Zhou, S.S. Chang, Convergence of implicit iterative process

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: sszhang_1@yahoo.com.cn (S.S. Chang), kktan@cs.dal.ca (K.K. Tan), majlee@polyu.edu.hk (H.W.J. Lee), machanck@polyu.edu.hk (C.K. Chan).

for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optimiz. 23 (2002) 911–921].

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Asymptotically nonexpansive mapping; Nonexpansive mapping; Implicit iterative sequence with errors for a finite family; Common fixed point; Opial condition; Demi-closed principle; Semi-compactness

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper we assume that E is a real Banach space, $F(T)$ and $D(T)$ are the set of fixed points and the domain of T , respectively.

Recall that E is said to satisfy *Opial condition*, if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E , the condition that the sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

for all $y \in E$ with $y \neq x$.

Definition 1. Let D be a closed subset of E and $T : D \rightarrow D$ be a mapping.

1. T is said to be *demi-closed* at the origin, if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D , the condition $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ weakly and $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly implies $Tx_0 = 0$.
2. T is said to be *semi-compact*, if for any bounded sequence x_n in D such that $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x^* \in D$.
3. T is said to be *asymptotically nonexpansive* [3], if there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 1$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq h_n \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in D, n \geq 1.$$

Proposition 1.

- (1) Let K be a nonempty subset of E , $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N : K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Then there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $h_n \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| \leq h_n \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in K, i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (1.1)$$

- (2) $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ is uniformly Lipschitzian with a Lipschitzian constant $L \geq 1$, i.e., there exists a constant $L \geq 1$ such that

$$\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in K, i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. (1) Since for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $T_i : K \rightarrow K$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, there exists a sequence $\{h_n^{(i)}\} \subset [1, \infty)$, with $h_n^{(i)} \rightarrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) such that

$$\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| \leq h_n^{(i)} \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Letting

$$h_n = \max\{h_n^{(1)}, h_n^{(2)}, \dots, h_n^{(N)}\}, \quad (1.4)$$

then we have that $\{h_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $h_n \rightarrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and

$$\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| \leq h_n^{(i)} \|x - y\| \leq h_n \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

(2) Taking $L = \sup_{n \geq 1} h_n$, then the conclusion (2) can be obtained from the conclusion (1) immediately.

Definition 2. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E satisfying $K + K \subset K$, $x_0 \in K$ be any given point and $\{T_1, T_1, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in K . Then the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset K$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \alpha_1 x_0 + (1 - \alpha_1) T_1 x_1 + u_1, \\ x_2 &= \alpha_2 x_1 + (1 - \alpha_2) T_2 x_2 + u_2, \\ &\vdots \\ x_N &= \alpha_N x_{N-1} + (1 - \alpha_N) T_N x_N + u_N, \\ x_{N+1} &= \alpha_{N+1} x_N + (1 - \alpha_{N+1}) T_1^2 x_{N+1} + u_{N+1}, \\ &\vdots \\ x_{2N} &= \alpha_{2N} x_{2N-1} + (1 - \alpha_{2N}) T_N^2 x_{2N} + u_{2N}, \\ x_{2N+1} &= \alpha_{2N+1} x_{2N} + (1 - \alpha_{2N+1}) T_1^3 x_{2N+1} + u_{2N+1}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

is called the implicit iterative sequence with errors for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$.

Since for each $n \geq 1$, it can be written as $n = (k - 1)N + i$, where $i = i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $k = k(n) \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can write the above table in the following compact form:

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + u_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.5)$$

Especially, if $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N: K \rightarrow K$ are N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and x_0 is a given point in K , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

is called the implicit iterative sequence for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$.

Recently concerning the convergence problems of an implicit (or non-implicit) iterative process to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings (or nonexpansive mappings) in Hilbert spaces or uniformly convex Banach spaces

have been considered by several authors (see, for example, Bauschke [1], Goebel and Kirk [3], Gornicki [4], Halpern [5], Lions [6], Reich [7], Schu [8], Sun [9], Tan and Xu [10], Wittmann [12], Xu and Ori [13], Zhou and Chang [14]).

The purpose of this paper is to study the weak and strong convergence of implicit iteration sequences $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) and (1.6) to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces.

The following theorems are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial condition, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E with $K + K \subset K$, $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ (the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$). Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in K , $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{h_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.1) and $L = \sup_{n \geq 1} h_n \geq 1$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty$;
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_n - 1) < \infty$;
- (iii) *there exist constants $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\tau_1 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \leq \tau_2, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) converges weakly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K .

Theorem 2. *Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial condition, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E , $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{h_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.1) and $L = \sup_{n \geq 1} h_n \geq 1$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_n - 1) < \infty$;
- (ii) *there exist constants $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\tau_1 < 1 - \alpha_n < \tau_2.$$

Then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.6) converges weakly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K .

Theorem 3. *Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E with $K + K \subset K$, $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and at least there exists an $T_l, 1 \leq l \leq N$, which is semi-compact (without loss of generality, we can assume that T_1 is semi-compact). Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in K , $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{h_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.1) and $L = \sup_{n \geq 1} h_n \geq 1$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty$;

- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_n - 1) < \infty$;
- (iii) there exist constants $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\tau_1 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \leq \tau_2, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K .

Theorem 4. Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E , $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and at least there exists an T_l , $1 \leq l \leq N$ is semi-compact. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, 1]$, $\{h_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.1) and $L = \sup_{n \geq 1} h_n \geq 1$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_n - 1) < \infty$;
- (ii) there exist constants $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\tau_1 < 1 - \alpha_n < \tau_2.$$

Then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.6) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K .

Theorem 5. Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E with $K + K \subset K$, $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}: K \rightarrow K$ be N nonexpansive mappings with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in K , $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty$;
- (ii) there exist constants $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\tau_1 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \leq \tau_2, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

- (1) if there exists at least an T_l , $1 \leq l \leq N$, which is semi-compact, then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K ;
- (2) if E is semi-closed, then the implicit iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) converges weakly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ in K .

In order to prove the main results of this paper, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [2,4]. Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E and $T: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then $I - T$ is semi-closed at zero, i.e., for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in K , if $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $q \in K$ and $\{(I - T)x_n\}$ converges strongly to 0, then $(I - T)q = 0$.

Lemma 2 [11]. Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$ be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + b_n)a_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

where n_0 is some nonnegative integer, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$.

Then

- (1) the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists;
- (2) if, in addition, there exists a subsequence $\{a_{n_i}\} \subset \{a_n\}$ such that $a_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$, then $a_n \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Lemma 3 [8]. Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, b, c be two constants with $0 < b < c < 1$. Suppose that $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence in $[b, c]$ and $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ are two sequence in E . Then the conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = d, \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq d, \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \leq d \end{cases}$$

imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$, where $d \geq 0$ is some constant.

2. Proof of theorems

We are now in a position to prove our main results in this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, for any given $p \in F$, it follows from (1.5) and Proposition 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &= \|\alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + u_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\| + \|u_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) h_{k(n)} \|x_n - p\| + \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\mu_n = h_{k(n)} - 1$, $\forall n \geq 1$, by condition (i) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_n < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \mu_n) \|x_n - p\| + \|u_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n + \mu_n) \|x_n - p\| + \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying we have

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{\mu_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - p\| + \frac{\|u_n\|}{\alpha_n}. \tag{2.2}$$

By condition (iii), $1 - \tau_2 \leq \alpha_n$, hence from (2.2) we have

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{\mu_n}{1 - \tau_2} \|x_n - p\| + \frac{\|u_n\|}{1 - \tau_2}.$$

Simplifying we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \frac{1 - \tau_2}{1 - \tau_2 - \mu_n} \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{\|u_n\|}{(1 - \tau_2 - \mu_n)(1 - \tau_2)} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\mu_n}{1 - \tau_2 - \mu_n}\right) \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{\|u_n\|}{(1 - \tau_2 - \mu_n)(1 - \tau_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By virtue of (2.1), $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$, therefore there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\mu_n \leq \frac{1 - \tau_2}{2}$, $\forall n \geq n_0$. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\mu_n}{1 - \tau_2}\right) \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{2\|u_n\|}{(1 - \tau_2)(1 - \tau_2)}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Taking $a_{n+1} = \|x_n - p\|$, $b_n = \frac{2\mu_n}{1 - \tau_2}$, $c_n = \frac{2\|u_n\|}{(1 - \tau_2)(1 - \tau_2)}$ in Lemma 2 and by using conditions (i) and (2.1), it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d, \quad (2.5)$$

where $d \geq 0$ is some number. Since $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is a convergent sequence and so $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in K . Again since

$$\|x_n - p\| = \|\alpha_n[x_{n-1} - p + u_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)[T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n - p + u_n]\|.$$

By condition (i) and (2.5) we have that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - p + u_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \leq d; \quad (2.6)$$

and that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n - p + u_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{k(n)} \|x_n - p\| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \leq d. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore from (2.5)–(2.7) and Lemma 3 we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n - x_{n-1}\| = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n - (1 - \alpha_n)x_{n-1} + u_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (2.8) and condition (i) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\|\} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+j}\| = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2.11)$$

Since for any positive integer $n > N$, it can be written as $n = (k(n) - 1)N + i(n)$, $i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Letting $\sigma_n = \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - x_{n-1}\|$, then from (2.8), we have $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_n x_n\| \\ &= \sigma_n + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_{i(n)} x_n\| \leq \sigma_n + L \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \sigma_n + L \{\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - T_{n-N}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N}\| \\ &\quad + \|T_{n-N}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N} - x_{(n-N)-1}\| + \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_n\|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Since for each $n > N$, $n = (n - N) \pmod{N}$, again since $n = (k(n) - 1)N + i(n)$, hence $n - N = ((k(n) - 1) - 1)N + i(n) = (k(n - N) - 1)N + i(n - N)$, i.e.,

$$k(n - N) = k(n) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad i(n - N) = i(n).$$

Therefore we have

$$\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - T_{n-N}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N}\| = \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N}\| \leq L \|x_n - x_{n-N}\| \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\|T_{n-N}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N} - x_{(n-N)-1}\| = \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{(n-N)} - x_{(n-N)-1}\| = \sigma_{n-N}. \quad (2.14)$$

Substituting (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.12) and simplifying we have

$$\|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| \leq \sigma_n + L^2 \|x_n - x_{n-N}\| + L \sigma_{n-N} + L \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_n\|.$$

By (2.8) and (2.11) we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.15) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Consequently, for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ from (2.11) and (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T_{n+j} x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+j}\| + \|x_{n+j} - T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\| + \|T_{n+j} x_{n+j} - T_{n+j} x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 + L) \|x_n - x_{n+j}\| + \|x_{n+j} - T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\| \rightarrow 0, \\ \text{as } n &\rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

This implies that the sequence

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^N \{\|x_n - T_{n+j}x_n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since for each $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $\{\|x_n - T_l x_n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a subsequence of $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \{\|x_n - T_{n+j}x_n\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$, therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2.18)$$

Since E is uniformly convex, every bounded subset of E is weakly compact. Since $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in K , there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to $q \in K$. Hence from (2.18) we have

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - T_l x_{n_k}\| = 0, \quad \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2.19)$$

By Lemma 1, we have that $(I - T_l)q = 0$, i.e., $q \in F(T_l)$. By the arbitrariness of $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we know that $q \in F = \bigcap_{l=1}^N F(T_l)$.

Next we prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to q . Suppose the contrary, then there exists some subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly to $q_1 \in K$ and $q \neq q_1$. Then by the same method as given above, we can also prove that $q_1 \in F = \bigcap_{l=1}^N F(T_l)$. Taking $p = q$ and $p = q_1$ and by using the same method as given in the proof of (2.5) we can prove that the following two limits exist and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - q\| = d_1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - q_1\| = d_2,$$

where d_1, d_2 are two nonnegative numbers. By virtue of the Opial condition of E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - q\| < \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - q_1\| = \limsup_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - q_1\| \\ &< \limsup_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - q\| = d_1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $q = q_1$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to q .

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Taking $\gamma_n = 0$, $\forall n \geq 1$, and $\beta_n = 1 - \alpha_n$, $\forall n \geq 1$, in Theorem 1, then the conclusion of Theorem 2 can be obtained from Theorem 1 immediately. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. For any given $p \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$, by the same method as given in proving (2.5) and (2.18), we can prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d, \quad (2.20)$$

where $d \geq 0$ is some nonnegative number, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2.21)$$

Especially, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_1 x_n\| = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

By the assumption of Theorem 3, T_1 is semi-compact, therefore it follows from (2.22) that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x_* \in K$. Hence from (2.21) we have that

$$\|x_* - T_l x_*\| = \lim_{n_i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - T_l x_{n_i}\| = 0, \quad \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

This implies that

$$x_* \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i).$$

By the arbitrariness of $p \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$, in (2.20) taking $p = x_*$, similarly we can also prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_*\| = d_1,$$

where $d_1 \geq 0$ is some nonnegative number. From $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x_*$ we know that $d_1 = 0$, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x_*$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Taking $\gamma_n = 0$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \alpha_n, \forall n \geq 1$ in Theorem 3, the conclusion of Theorem 4 can be obtained from Theorem 3 immediately. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Since each nonexpansive mapping from K into K is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping from $K \rightarrow K$ with $h_n = 1, \forall n \geq 1$ and $L = 1$. Therefore all conditions in Theorems 1 and 3 are satisfied. The conclusions of Theorem 5 can be obtained from Theorems 1 and 3 immediately.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

Remark. (1) Theorems 2 and 4 give an affirmative answer to the following open question raised by Xu and Ori [13]: “It is unclear what assumptions on the mappings $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ and/or the parameters $\{\alpha_n\}$ are sufficient to guarantee the strong convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.6).”

(2) Theorems 1 and 3 improve and generalize Theorem 3.3 of Sun [9] to the case of implicit iteration process with errors for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and the boundedness condition of the set K in this theorem is deleted.

(3) Theorems 1 and 3 also generalize and improve the corresponding results in Zhou and Chang [14] and the key condition (v) in [14, Theorem 1]: there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that for any $i, j \in \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}, i \neq j$,

$$\|T_i^n x - T_j^n\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in K,$$

is deleted.

(4) Theorems 1–5 also generalize and improve the corresponding results of [1,3–8, 10,12].

References

- [1] H.H. Bauschke, The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 202 (1996) 150–159.
- [2] S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho, H.Y. Zhou, Demi-closed principle and weak convergence problems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 38 (2001) 1245–1260.
- [3] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35 (1972) 171–174.
- [4] J. Gornicki, Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 301 (1989) 249–252.
- [5] B. Halpern, Fixed points of nonexpansive maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967) 957–961.
- [6] P.L. Lions, Approximation de points fixes de contractions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* 284 (1977) 1357–1359.
- [7] S. Reich, Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 75 (1980) 287–292.
- [8] J. Schu, Weak and strong convergence of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 43 (1991) 153–159.
- [9] Z.H. Sun, Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 286 (2003) 351–358.
- [10] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, The nonlinear ergodic theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992) 399–404.
- [11] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iterative process, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 178 (1993) 301–308.
- [12] R. Wittmann, Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, *Arch. Math.* 58 (1992) 486–491.
- [13] H.K. Xu, M.G. Ori, An implicit iterative process for nonexpansive mappings, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 22 (2001) 767–773.
- [14] Y.Y. Zhou, S.S. Chang, Convergence of implicit iterative process for a finite family of asymptotically non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 23 (2002) 911–921.